

1. SEMISIMPLICITY AND SCHUR'S LEMMA - 08/12/09

A representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$ of a finite group is said to be *irreducible* if there is no nontrivial vector subspace $U \subset V$ which is left stable under the action of G .

If there is an inner-product lurking in the background, and ρ is a unitary representation, then for every $U \subseteq V$ there exists a unique U^\perp such that $V = U \oplus U^\perp$. If U is such that:

$$\rho(g).u \in U \text{ for all } u \in U \text{ and for all } g \in G$$

Then it follows that U^\perp is such that:

$$\rho(g)^*.u \in U \text{ for all } u \in U \text{ and for all } g \in G$$

But since the representation is unitary, $\rho(g)^* = \rho(g)^{-1}$, thus every unitary representation of G decomposes into an orthogonal direct sum of irreducible ones. This is the definition of *semisimplicity*.

Now fix some representation $\rho : G \rightarrow V$. If ρ is irreducible, then the only maps ψ with the property that: for all $g \in G$ the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\rho(g)} & V \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ V & \xrightarrow{\rho(g)} & V \end{array}$$

are the scalar multiples of the identity.

To see this note that if λ is an eigenvalue of ψ then the eigenspace of ψ corresponding to λ is stable under all of G and must thus be the whole space. Over \mathbb{C} every linear operator has at least one eigenvalue.

More generally, suppose that V decomposes as:

$$V = U \oplus U^\perp$$

with each of U and U^\perp irreducible. If the representation of G restricted to U is *not* isomorphic to the representation of G on U^\perp , then the only $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ which commutes with the action of G are those of the form:

$$\psi = \lambda I_U \oplus \mu I_{U^\perp}$$

where I_U denotes the identity on U and I_{U^\perp} denotes the identity of U^\perp .

If they *are* isomorphic, then we can write:

$$V = U \otimes W$$

where W is a *multiplicity space* of dimension 2. In this basis the representation looks like:

$$\rho(g) = \rho_U(g) \otimes I_W$$

where ρ_U is the restriction of ρ to U and I_W is the identity matrix on W .

The ψ which commute with the action of G are now of the form:

$$\psi = \lambda I \otimes A$$

where I denotes the identity matrix acting on U , and A is *any* matrix acting on W .

Finally if $\rho : G \rightarrow V$ is an arbitrary representation, and the underlying vector space decomposes as

$$V = \bigoplus_k (U_k \otimes W_k)$$

with the U_k denoting pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible representations, and the W_k their multiplicity spaces, then the representation looks like:

$$\rho(g) = \bigoplus_k \rho_k(g) \otimes I_{W_k}$$

and the $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ which commute with the action of G are those of the form:

$$\psi = \bigoplus_k \lambda_k I_{U_k} \otimes A_k$$

This is more or less *Schur's lemma*.